

BOARD BILL NO. 104

INTRODUCED BY ALDERWOMAN LYDA KREWSON

1 An ordinance pertaining to the Airport Authority of The City of St. Louis (“City”)
2 repealing Ordinance 56014, approved November 2, 1971, which pertained to renaming
3 that certain public airport and fields owned by City and located in St. Louis County,
4 Missouri to “Lambert-St. Louis International Airport”; amending Section 18.04.010 of
5 the Revised Code, City of St. Louis, 1994, Anno., in order to change the name of the
6 public airport and landing field to “St. Louis Lambert International Airport”; and
7 containing a severability clause.

8 **BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS AS FOLLOWS:**

9 **SECTION ONE.** Ordinance No. 56014 approved November 2, 1971, which
10 pertained to renaming that certain public airport and fields owned by The City of St.
11 Louis and located in St. Louis County, Missouri to “Lambert- St. Louis International
12 Airport”, is hereby repealed.

13 **SECTION TWO.** Section 18.04.010, Lambert-St. Louis International Airport
14 of the Revised Code, City of St. Louis, 1994, Anno., which provides as follows:

15 The name of the public airport and landing field owned by the City and located in
16 the county of St. Louis, state of Missouri, is changed to “Lambert-St. Louis International
17 Airport.”

18 is hereby deleted in its entirety and the following is substituted in its place:

19 **18.04.010 St. Louis Lambert International Airport**

1 The name of the public airport and landing field owned by the City and located in
2 the county of St. Louis, State of Missouri, is changed to “St. Louis Lambert International
3 Airport.”

4 **SECTION THREE.** The sections, conditions, and provisions of this Ordinance or
5 portions thereof will be severable. If any section, condition, or provision of this Ordinance
6 or portion thereof contained herein is held invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, such
7 holding will not invalidate the remaining sections, conditions, or provisions of this
8 Ordinance unless the court finds the valid sections or provisions of this Ordinance are so
9 essentially and inseparably connected with and so dependent upon the illegal,
10 unconstitutional or ineffective section or provision that it cannot be presumed that the Board
11 of Aldermen would have enacted the valid sections or provisions without the illegal,
12 unconstitutional or ineffective sections or provisions or unless the court finds that the valid
13 sections or provisions, standing alone, are incomplete and incapable of being executed in
14 accordance with the legislative intent.