

1 **BOARD BILL NO. 107 INTRODUCED BY PRESIDENT LEWIS E. REED**
2 **AND ALDERMAN STEPHEN CONWAY**
3

4 An ordinance appropriating the sum of, of **NINETEEN MILLION, TWO HUNDRED**
5 **TWENTY-SEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$19,227,000)** as described and defined
6 in Section 94.600 through 94.655, R.S. Mo. Supp. 1986 as amended for the period herein
7 stated, which sum is hereby appropriated out of the “Transportation Trust Fund” to the
8 Bi-State Development Agency for transportation purposes; and further providing that the
9 appropriation is conditional upon the Bi-State Development Agency supplying the Board
10 of Estimate and Apportionment an annual evaluation report; further providing that in no
11 event shall the Comptroller draw warrants on the Treasurer for an amount greater than the
12 amount of proceeds deposited in the “Transportation Trust Fund” during the period from
13 July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010; providing for the appropriation to be reduced if
14 certain funds are used for other than public transit purposes; further providing that the
15 appropriation is conditional upon Bi-State requiring the payment of prevailing wages and
16 benefits to employees of outside service contractors; and containing a severability clause.

17 **BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS, AS FOLLOWS:**

18 SECTION ONE. There is hereby appropriated from the unappropriated balance of the
19 “Transportation Trust Fund,” subject to the conditions herein contained in sections three
20 (3) and four (4), the sum of, **NINETEEN MILLION, TWO HUNDRED TWENTY-**
21 **SEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$19,227,000)**, as described and defined in Section
22 94.600 through 94.655, R.S. Mo. Supp. 1986 as amended for the period herein stated,

1 which sum is hereby appropriated out of the “Transportation Trust Fund” to the Bi-State
2 Development Agency to be used exclusively and without diversion in any way for public
3 transit purposes pursuant to section 94.600 R.S. Mo. Supp. 1986.

4 SECTION TWO. The Comptroller of the City of St. Louis is hereby authorized and
5 directed to draw warrants from time to time on the Treasurer of the City of St. Louis for
6 payments to the Bi-State Development Agency, as authorized herein, on the
7 “Transportation Trust Fund” as the proceeds of the one-half percent (1/2%) sales tax
8 authorized by Ordinance No. 56554, approved June 29, 1973, are received from the
9 Director of Revenue of the State of Missouri and are deposited in the “Transportation
10 Trust Fund” as provided by Ordinance No. 56584, approved October 9, 1973, until the
11 total amount appropriated herein has been paid or until the 30th day of June, 2010,
12 whichever event occurs first. This authorization is made subject to and conditional upon
13 the Bi-State Development Agency submitting to the Board of Estimate and
14 Apportionment an annual evaluation report describing services provided and the cost
15 thereof including cost justification for overhead rates and other management fees. The
16 receipt of any funds appropriated hereunder shall constitute consideration for the Bi-State
17 Development Agency’s obligating itself to furnish the evaluation reports as required
18 herein.

19 SECTION THREE. In no event shall the Comptroller draw warrants on the Treasurer of
20 the City of St. Louis for an amount greater than the amount of the proceeds received from

1 the Director of Revenue of the State of Missouri and deposited in the “Transportation
2 Trust Fund” during the period from July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010.

3 SECTION FOUR. (a) The Bi-State Development Agency (“Bi-State”) shall include in all
4 its requests for competitive bids for outside service work the requirement that the bidder
5 pay prevailing wages and benefits to its employees in performing such contractual work.

6 (b) For the purpose of this ordinance, “prevailing wages and benefits” shall mean the
7 wages paid generally in the St. Louis Metropolitan area to workers engaged in service
8 work of a similar character, and all benefits associated therewith. Prior to letting any bid
9 for outside service work, Bi-State shall establish prevailing wages and benefits for
10 service workers in the contract for which the bid will be let, which shall be attached to
11 and made a part of each bid specification. In establishing prevailing wages and benefits,
12 Bi-State shall obtain from the Missouri Department of Labor and Industrial Relations,
13 Division of Labor Standards, a list of prevailing wages for the job classification(s) which
14 come closest in nature and character to the jobs to be performed in the service contract
15 for which bids are to be let. In addition to such list, Bi-State shall also base its established
16 prevailing wages and benefits on information from the United States Department of
17 Labor, Bureau of Labor Standards, to the greatest extent feasible.

18 (c) After establishing prevailing wages and benefits for a bid to be let, and not less than
19 one week prior to letting the bid, Bi-State shall provide the Board of Aldermen, c/o the
20 Clerk, with copies of all information and material used to establish such prevailing wages
21 and benefits.

June 12, 2009

Page 3 of 4

Board Bill # 107

Sponsor: President Lewis E. Reed and Alderman Stephen Conway

1 SECTION FIVE. In the event the Board of Estimate and Apportionment concludes that
2 any funds herein appropriated or previously appropriated by the City of St. Louis to the
3 Bi-State Development Agency and remaining unspent are used for other than public
4 transit purposes, the appropriation herein enacted shall be reduced by an amount equal to
5 the amount used for other than public transit purposes. The determination of the Board of
6 Estimate and Apportionment of such spending for other than public transit purposes shall
7 be conclusive.

8 SECTION SIX. The sections of this Ordinance shall be severable. In the event that any
9 section of this Ordinance is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be
10 unconstitutional or is inconsistent with the ability of Bi-State to receive funding from the
11 United States, the remaining sections of this ordinance are valid unless the court finds the
12 valid or consistent sections of this Ordinance are so essentially and inseparably connected
13 with, and so dependent upon the void or inconsistent section that it cannot be presumed
14 that the Aldermen would have enacted the valid sections without the void or inconsistent
15 sections, or unless the court finds that the valid or consistent sections, standing alone, are
16 incomplete and incapable of being executed in accordance with the legislative intent.